UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,899	09/07/2006	Masanori Somei	1254-0323PUS1	7982
	10/591,899 09/07/2006 Masanori Somei 1254-0 2292 7590 04/12/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747  AR  NOTIFIC.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			PAGONAKIS, ANNA	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/591,899	SOMEI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANNA PAGONAKIS	1628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONT	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2	9/2010.					
	_ · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-5 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure	•	Wed in the National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ived.				
	*					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Applicant's amendment filed 3/10/2010 has been received and entered into the present

application.

Rejections not reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn. The following

rejections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections presently

being applied to the instant application.

**Claim Objection** 

Claim 13 is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to

further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend

the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims(s) in independent form.

It appears that claim 1 does not recite a halogen but rather recites a bromine (which is a type of halogen)

whereas claim 13 broadly requires a halogen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-5 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Somei et al.

(Heterocycles, Vol. 53, No. 8, pages 1725-1736, 2000).

Somei et al. teaches the compound:

Application/Control Number: 10/591,899

Art Unit: 1628

Page 3

Where X, R3 and R5 are Br (bromine), R4 is Me (methyl, C1 alkyl), R6 is H (hydrogen), R1 is Ac (acyl) and R2 is methyl (C1 alkyl).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somei et al. (Heterocycles, Vol. 53, No. 8, pages 1725-1736, 2000).

### Determination of the scope and contents of the prior art

Macias et al. teaches the following compound:

### Ascertaining the differences between the instant claims and the prior art

The difference between this compound and that of the compound of claims 4-5 and 13-15, is the  $C_1$  (methyl) versus  $C_{2-21}$  substitution of  $R_2$ .

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima facie case of obviousness

With regards to the alkyl substitution, MPEP 2144.08.II.A.4(c) states, "...consider teachings of a preferred species within the genus. If such a species is structurally similar to that claimed, its disclosure may motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to choose the claimed species or subgenus from the genus, based on the reasonable expectation that structurally similar species usually have similar properties."

To those skilled in the chemical art, one homologue is not an advance over a member of a homologous series. The reason for this is that one of ordinary skill, knowing the properties of one member of series, would know what properties to expect in adjacent members. *In re* Henze, 85 USPQ 261 (1950), *In re* Wood, 199 USPQ 137 (CCPA 1978), and *In re* Lohr, 137 USPQ 548, 549 (CCPA 1963).

One of ordinary skill would be motivated, from the disclosure in the prior art, to make the modifications required to arrive at the instant invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining a compound with the same utility. The motivation to make the change would be to make additional compound for the quoted purpose.

Thus, the instant claims are prima facie obvious.

#### Conclusion

No claim is found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNA PAGONAKIS whose telephone number is (571)270-3505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,899 Page 5

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

/Brandon J Fetterolf/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642